The Medium-Term Forest Management Plan

also for complex and changing situations

Prof. Dr. Hanns H. Höfle University of Göttingen

Overview

- Introduction
- Planning process
- Results and implementation
- Differentiation and problems
- Conclusions

Some aspects of planning

- "Er machte einen Plan und war ein armer Wicht, er macht nen zweiten Plan und gehn tun beide nicht" (Brecht).
- Analysis of options and selection of optimal solution
- Continuous process using all available knowledge and information
- Basis for monitoring and updating
- Transparency, participation ...

Time horizons of planning

- Strategic tactical operational
- Strategic: long-term (20 years and more)
- Tactical: medium-term (5 10 20 years)
- Operational: short-term (1 year and less)
- Planning pyramid: one plan follows, realises the other.
- These consecutive plans are more detailed, needing more information, involving more people ...

Special situation of forestry

- Long production periods
- Complex and sensitive ecosystems
- Great importance of biodiversity
- Many stakeholders with different interests -> important to locate and solve conflicts
- Multiple functions of forests (integration vs. segregation)
- Large quantity of data

Phases of the planning process

- Status quo of all relevant items:
 - functions of the forest
 - sites,
 - stands,
 - biological diversity and/or hotspots
 - infrastructure ...
- Looking back: control of the last planning period
- Looking forward: planning for the next time horizon (the next planning period)

Information needed

- Basic information: ecology of trees; silvicultural strategies; risks, pests and diseases ...
- Tenure and rights of people
- Forest functions (via forest function map or land use map)
- Sites (via site mapping)
- Biological diversity (via biotope mapping)
- Stands (via inventory of timber and NTFP) ...
- Data collection to be restricted to what is really needed (costs, user friendly...)!!

Inventory

- As one (the core) element of gathering information
- Including all relevant information but restricted to those data that are really relevant
- Using the most advanced and appropriate methods (sample theory, remote sensing, participatory mapping ...) up to digesting and presenting the information
- Could the design and the results of the inventory be used to establish a (the) baseline for REDD+??

Structure and details of the plan

- Depends on the specific situation (type of ownership, size of property ...) and the respective laws and regulations:
 - Areas and infrastructure
 - Silvicultural activities (→ AAC)
 - ...
 - Labour and equipment needed
 - Revenues and costs
- Formalisation needed: many people involved, comparison over time

Organisation of the planning process

- People involved:
 - Team work
 - Use specialists and outside experts
 - Involve people later responsible for implementation ("my plan")
 - Include local and indigenous knowledge (in particular with community forestry)
- Start in time and be ready in time!
- Formalisation needed also for organisation

Methods used in the planning process

- Open to all methods. See history: area control, volume control, combination of both, use of formulas, simulation models, methods of operations research ...
- See also the model of the normal forest or the equilibrium in the individual tree selection system
- To be adjusted to the specific situation and to be reshaped according to changing parameters: e.g. through acid rain, climate change, community forestry ...

Results

- Results correspond to objectives for the next planning period.
- Presented in an economic and user friendly way (Data bases, GIS ...). Possible structure:
 - Detailed description of background, planning process, silvicultural strategies, methods used, results (such as AAC) ...
 - Compartment record book with three parts for each stand: status – planned activities – records of implementation
 - Map(s) and/or GIS

Implementation

- Sometimes detailed schedule for implementation. - But:
- Flexibility is mandatory because of:
 - Volatility of timber markets
 - Disasters caused by biotic and abiotic agents
 - Increase of knowledge
- Availability of labour and capital
- Monitoring guarantees that deviations of the plan are acceptable.

Monitoring

- Formalisation (once again necessary) about:
 - At what time (how often)?
 - Where?
 - What data?
 - By whom?
 - Collecting, digesting and recording data
- How to compare objectives of the plan and results
- Suggestions for replanning regularly or after specific events

Coping with different situations

- Type of ownership (state,community, private)
- Size of property
- Concession systems as special case
- Forest ecosystems
- Different types of forestry (von Gadow):
 - Rotation forest management
 - Sporadic exploitation forestry
 - Near-natural forest management
 - Transformation forest management

Coping with new developments

- Forest decline (→ compensation liming)
- Climate change (→ what species to select for the future – deviating from present solutions)
- Greater public participation (→ plans must be laid open, suggestions taken care of)
- Certification (→ criteria and indicators to be included)
- New silvicultural strategies (e.g. near-natural forestry)
- REDD+

Quality of planning

- Depends on:
- Accuracy and actuality of information (data)
- Use of all available and up-to-date knowledge
- Participation of the "right" set of people including the public
- Methods used in the planning process
- Presentation of results

Problems

- Optmal intensity of planning according to:
 - Type of ownership
 - Size of management unit
 - Legal regulations ...
- Costs of planning (relatively easy to quantify):
 - Collection, digesting and storing of data
 - Planning process
 - Persons involved
- Benefits difficult to quantify

Conclusions

- Planning is a must in forestry the mediumterm forest management plan providing a central tool.
- This plan should be well structured and formalised.
- It should use all necessecary information as well as all relevant expertise.

Thank you for your attention!

Further developments